

#5
BT
2161
11-13-01

Attorney Docket No. 1330.1086

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

John SCHMIDT, et al.

Application No.: 09/824,690

Group Art Unit:

Filed: April 4, 2001

Examiner: Unassigned

For: ENTERPRISE APPLICATION INTEGRATION METHODOLOGY

RECEIVED

OCT 11 2001

Technology Center 2100

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In accordance with the duty of disclosure provisions of 37 CFR § 1.56, there is hereby provided certain information which the Examiner may consider material to the examination of the subject U.S. patent application. It is requested that the Examiner make this information of record if it is deemed material to the examination of the subject application.

1. Enclosures accompanying this Information Disclosure Statement are:

- 1a. ☒ Form PTO-1449.
- 1b. ☒ Copies of IDS citations. (Except References BA and BB)
- 1c. ☐ An English language copy of search report(s) from a counterpart foreign application or a PCT International Search Report.
- 1d. ☐ English language translation (complete or relevant portion(s)) attached to each non-English language publication.
- 1e. ☐ Explanations of Relevancy of References (ATTACHMENT 1(e), hereto) for providing a concise explanation of each non-English publication.
- 1f. ☐ List of Copending Applications (ATTACHMENT 1(f), hereto).
- 1g. ☐ List of Additional Submitted Documents (ATTACHMENT 1(g), hereto).

2. ☒ This Information Disclosure Statement is filed under 37 CFR §1.97(b):

(Check either Item 2a or 2b or 2c or 2d)

- 2a. ☐ Within three months of the filing date of a national application other than a Continued Prosecution Application under § 1.53(d);
- 2b. ☐ Within three months of the date of entry of the national stage as set forth in § 1.491 in an international application.
- 2c. ☒ Before the mailing of a first Office Action on the merits; or
- 2d. ☐ Before the mailing of a first Office Action after the filing of a Request for Continued Examination under § 1.114.

3. ☐ This Information Disclosure Statement is filed under 37 CFR § 1.97(c) after the period specified in paragraph 2 above but before the mailing date of a Final Office Action under § 1.113, a Notice of Allowance under § 1.311 or an action that otherwise closes prosecution in the application, AND

(Check either Item 3a or 3b; Item 3b to be checked if any reference known for more than 3 months)

- 3a. ☐ The §1.97(e) Statement in Item 5 below is applicable; OR
3b. ☐ The \$180.00 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p) is:
☐ enclosed.
☐ to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

4. ☐ This Information Disclosure Statement is filed under 37 CFR §1.97(d) after period specified in paragraph 3 above, but on or before payment of the Issue Fee, AND

- 4a. ☐ The § 1.97(e) Statement in Item 5 below is applicable; AND
4b. ☐ The \$180.00 fee set forth in 37 C.F.R. §1.17(p) is:
☐ enclosed.
☐ to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

5. ☐ Statement under § 1.97(e) (*applicable if Item 3a or Item 4 is checked*)

(Check either Item 5a or 5b)

- 5a. ☐ In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.97(e)(1), it is stated that each item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement.
5b. ☐ In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.97(e)(2), it is stated that no item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application or, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in this Information Disclosure Statement was known by any individual designated in §1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of this Information Disclosure Statement.

6. ☐ This is a continuation/divisional/continuation-in-part application under 37 CFR § 1.53(b).

(Check appropriate Items 6a and/or 6b)

- 6a. ☐ Copies of the publications listed on the attached Form PTO-1449 which were previously cited in prior application Serial No. ___, filed on ___, and which is relied on for an earlier effective filing date for the subject application under 35 U.S.C. § 120, have been omitted pursuant to 37 CFR § 1.98(d).
6b. ☐ Copies of the publications listed on the attached Form PTO-1449 which were not previously cited in prior application Serial No. ___, filed on ___, and which is relied on for an earlier effective filing date for the subject application under 35 U.S.C. § 120, are provided herewith.


7. ☐ This is a continuation/divisional application under 37 CFR § 1.53(d) or Request for Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114.
(Check either Item 7a or 7b)
- 7a. ☐ The Issue Fee has not been paid.
- 7b. ☐ A Petition to Withdraw from issue under 37 CFR §1.313(c) is filed concurrently herewith or has been granted. A continuation application under 37 CFR § 1.53(d) or Request for Continued Examination under 37 CFR 1.114, after payment of the Issue Fee is proper in accordance with 37 CFR § 1.53(d)(1)(ii) or 37 CFR 1.114(a), respectively.
8. ☐ This is a Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement.
(Check either Item 8a or 8b)
- 8a. ☐ This Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement under 37 CFR § 1.97(f) supplements the Information Disclosure Statement filed on _____. A bona fide attempt was made to comply with 37 CFR § 1.98, but inadvertent omissions were made. These omissions have been corrected herein. Accordingly, additional time is requested so that this Supplemental IDS can be considered as if properly filed on _____.
- 8b. ☐ This Supplemental Information Disclosure Statement is timely filed within one (1) month of the Notice under 37 CFR § 1.97 and 1.98, mailed _____. (MPEP 609 C(1), Form ¶ 6.49, Rev. 1, Feb. 2000, pp. 600-107)
9. ☐ In accordance with 37 CFR § 1.98, a concise explanation of what is presently understood to be the relevance of each non-English language publication is:
(Check appropriate Items 9a, 9b, 9c and/or 9d)
- 9a. ☐ satisfied because all non-English language publications were cited on the enclosed English language copy of the PCT International Search Report or the search report from a counterpart foreign application indicating the degree of relevance found by the foreign office. (See U.S. Patent & Trademark Office's authorization in the Federal Register, Vol. 57, No. 12, January 17, 1992, at page 2031 (Reply to Comment 68).)
- 9b. ☐ set forth in the application.
- 9c. ☐ satisfied because an English language translation (complete or relevant portion(s)) is attached to each non-English language publication.
- 9d. ☐ enclosed as Attachment 1(e), hereto.
10. No admission is made that the information cited in this Statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability nor a representation that a search has been made (other than search report(s) from a counterpart foreign application or a PCT International Search Report, if submitted herewith). 37 CFR §§ 1.97(g) and (h).

11. The Commissioner is authorized to credit any overpayment or charge any additional fee required under 37 CFR § 1.17 for this Information Disclosure Statement and/or Petition to Deposit Account No. 19-3935.

Respectfully submitted,

STAAS & HALSEY LLP

Dated: Oct 10, 2001
700 Eleventh Street, N.W., Suite 500
Washington, D.C. 20001
Telephone: (202) 434-1500
Facsimile: (202) 434-1501

By: 
Gene M. Garner II
Registration No. 34,172

RECEIVED

OCT 11 2001

Technology Center 2100f 2
Sheet 2 of 2

FORM PTO-1449

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

1330.1086

APPLICATION NO.

09/824,690

LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT

(Use several sheets if necessary)

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

John SCHMIDT, et al.

FILING DATE

April 4, 2001

GROUP ART UNIT

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*EXAMINER (Initial)	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	NAME	CLASS	SUB- CLASS	FILING DATE
AA	5,970,490	10/19/99	Morgenstern			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

	DOCUMENT NO.	DATE	COUNTRY	CLASS	SUB- CLASS	TRANSLATION YES NO
AB	WO 00/29924	05/25/00	PCT			

OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

AC	Enterprise Application Integration, The Concept Five Approach, Secure Application Integration Methodology, 4 pages, Copyright 1998, Concept Five Technologies, Inc., McLean, Virginia
AD	Enterprise Application Integration, Education & Training, 1 page, Copyright 1998, Concept Five Technologies, Inc., McLean, Virginia
AE	Enterprise Application Integration, Component Development, Integration & Deployment, 2 pages, Copyright 1998, Concept Five Technologies, Inc., McLean, Virginia
AF	Enterprise Application Integration, Component Design, 2 pages, Copyright 1998, Concept Five Technologies, Inc., McLean, Virginia
AG	Enterprise Application Integration, Application Architecture, 2 pages, Copyright 1998, Concept Five Technologies, Inc., McLean, Virginia
AH	Enterprise Application Integration, Enterprise Architecture, 2 pages, Copyright 1998, Concept Five Technologies, Inc., McLean, Virginia
AI	Enterprise Application Integration, Strategy, 2 pages, Copyright 1998, Concept Five Technologies, Inc., McLean, Virginia
AJ	Integration Still a Team Effort, Ted Smalley Bowen, 4 pages, February 1, 1999, http://www.inforworld.com/cgi-bin/displayStory.pl?features/990201eai.htm ,
AK	Process Automation and EAI, David S. Linthicum, eAI Journal, March 2000, pages 12, 14, 16, 18
AL	EAI without the hype, David S. Linthicum, 11 pages, July 1999 http://www.devx.com/upload/free/features/entdev/1990/07jul99/d10799/d10799.asp
AM	Value of EAI Grows as Integration Needs Expand, Antone Gonsalves, 3 pages, May 28, 2001, http://www.informationweek.com/shared/printArticle?article=infoweek/839/eai.htm&pub=iv...
AN	Grains of salt and EAI believer, Kevin Strehlo, 2 pages, http://www.devx.com/upload/free/features/entdev/1990/07jul99/en0799/en0799.asp
AO	12 steps to EAI, David S. Linthicum, 5 pages, September 1999 http://www.devx.com/upload/free/features/entdev/1999/09sep99/mm0999/mm0999.asp

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

RECEIVED

OCT 11 2001

Technology Center 2102 of 2

FORM PTO-1449

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

1330.1086

APPLICATION NO.

09/824,690

LIST OF REFERENCES CITED BY APPLICANT

(Use several sheets if necessary)

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

John SCHMIDT, et al.

FILING DATE

April 4, 2001

GROUP ART UNIT

OTHER REFERENCES (Including Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, Etc.)

AP	Active Software, Overview of the Active Integration Methodology (AIM) Process, pages 1-11, Copyright 1999, Active Software, Inc., Santa Clara, CA
AQ	VITRIA, BusinessWare Overview, 2 pages, Copyright 2001 Vitria Technology, Inc. http://www.vitria.com/products/businessware/overview.html
AR	VITRIA, BusinessWare for Enterprise Application Integration (EAI), 2 pages, http://www.vitria.com/products/businessware/eai.html
AS	SYBASE, EAI/Middleware, 2 pages, http://www.sybase.com/products/eaimiddleware
AT	SYBASE, Overview of the New Era of Networks Product Line, 12 pages, http://www.sybase.com/detail?id=1012584
AU	CrossWorlds Software, Product Overview, CrossWorlds Collaborations: Pre-Built Advantages, 1 page, Copyright 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001 Crossworlds Software, Inc. http://www.crossworlds.com/products/collaborations/html
AV	PeerLogic and Quantum Technology Form Strategic Partnership; New Alliance Expands Middleware Provider's Network of Consulting, Technical Support and Marketing Services in North America (Company Business and Marketing) EDGE: Work-Group Computing Report, pNA August 2, 1999, 2 pages
AW	CrossWorlds Software, Product Overview, What does business integration mean?, 1 page, Copyright 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001 Crossworlds Software, Inc., http://www.crossworlds.com/products/business_integration.html
AX	Viewlocity Announces the Availability of an Application Connector for J.D. Edwards OneWorld (TM), PR Newswire, p7380, Dec. 8, 1999, 2 pages
AY	Promenix Files First U.S. Patent Application of the New Millennium, Business Wire, p1082, Jan. 5, 2000, 2 pages
AZ	Firm pitches secure integration, Bowen, Ted Smalley, InfoWorld, v21n3, PP: 56, Jan. 18, 1999, 2 pages
BA	Systems Integration Success 1999, John Wyzalek, CRC Press, LLC, 1999 Boca Raton, FL
BB	Enterprise Application Integration, David S. Linthicum, Addison-Wesley Longman, Inc., 2000, Reading, MA

EXAMINER

DATE CONSIDERED

*EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.